Regional Planning for Operations in PA-Current and Future

Planning for Operations at Southwestern PA Commission



Transportation Engineering and Safety Conference-12/8/17



Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission

- 10 counties
- Over 7000 sq. miles
- 2.66 M residents
- 3 PennDOT Districts
- 555 munis
- 6,688 state/local bridges
- 25,162 linear miles of roadway
- 2,750 traffic signals
 (operated and maintained by 255 munis).





Transportation Ops and Safety Programs and Initiatives

- Transportation Operations & Congestion Management
 - Ops and Safety Forum
 - Regional Operations Plan/ITS Planning and Implementation
 - Congestion Management Process
 - Corridor Planning Studies
 - Regional Traffic Signal Program
 - Traffic Incident Management



Transportation Ops & Safety Forum (TOSF)

- An open forum
- Participation from 3 PennDOT Districts, Central Office, county planners, consultants, transit agencies, TMAs, Pitt, CMU
- Meets 3-4 times per year
- Updates on regional programs, projects
- Presentations on innovative projects, new technology, etc.





SWPA ROP History

- Sept 2005 PennDOT Transportation Systems Operations Plan (TSOP)
- June 2007 SWPA ROP established
- June 2011 SWPA ROP Update 1
- June 2015 SWPA ROP Update 2
- June 2019-Update 3 to begin soon







2015 Regional Operations Plan for Southwestern Pennsylvania





SWPA ROP Goals

- •Mitigate Recurring Congestion
- •Maintain Mobility During Planned Events
- Minimize the Impact of Unplanned Events
 Provide an Efficient Multimodal Transportation System





SWPA ROP Focus Areas

Focus Area	Operational Objective			
Traffic Signals	fic Signals Improve the operational efficiency and safety of traf			
Incident & Emergency Management	Manage and coordinate incident and emergency management activities			
Traveler Information	Provide timely and reliable traveler information			
Operational Teamwork	Promote institutional coordination to improve the efficiency of management and operations programs and initiatives			
Intermodal Connectivity	Enhance intermodal integration			
reeway & Arterial Operations	Implement freeway and arterial operations initiatives in an integrated fashion			
Freight Management	FreightImprove the operational efficiency and safety of freighnagementmovement within the region			



ITS Architecture

- Regional ITS Architecture adopted in 2004
- Started an update of the Regional ITS Architecture by meeting with 25 ITS stakeholders in 2015
- Completed an update of the ITS Architecture in 2016-all electronic

Welcome

Planning

Inventory

services

Stakeholders

By Entity

Ops Concept

Requirements nterfaces Standards Agreements

By Stakeholder

This Regional ITS Architecture is a roadmap for transportation systems integration. The architecture was developed through a cooperative effort by the region's transportation agencies, covering all modes and all roads in the region. It represents a shared vision of how each agency's systems will work together in the future, sharing information and resources to provide a safer, more efficient, and more effective transportation system for travelers in the region. The architecture provides an overanching framework that spans all of the region's transportation organizations and individual transportation projects. Using the architecture, each transportation project can be viewed as an element of the overall transportation system, providing visibility into the relationship between individual transportation projects and ways to cost-effectively build an integrated transportation system over time. The purpose of this regional ITS architecture es of the regional ITS architecture is used and continues to reflect the intelligent transportation system vision for the region. The menu bar at left provides access to the stakeholders, the transportation systems in the region (the Inventory), the transportation-related functions that are envisioned, and the existing and planned integration opportunities in the region.

7/14/2016

Southwestern PA Regional ITS Architecture



ITS Planning and Implementation Regional Cashless Tolling Study

PTC is giving serious consideration to CT system-wide Study looks at:

-Given that the toll booths currently act as a control point for vehicles exiting the turnpike, will their removal result in:

- •any substantive impact at off-ramp locations?
- •any substantive impact at nearby signalized intersections?
- •feasibility of new access?





ITS Planning and Implementation Baum/Centre SINC-UP CV Project



- •Originally a \$750,000 SINC-UP Project that included significant upgrades
- •Evolved into a \$1.7M public-private collaboration between FHWA, SPC PennDOT District 11-0, the City of Pgh, CMU Traffic-21,UPMC and private philanthropic organizations.
- •Provides traffic signals along these parallel high growth corridors with upgrades such as new controllers, new communications, new radar vehicular detection and a new SURTRAC adaptive system. Also new dedicated short range communication (DSRC) was installed to facilitate a future connected vehicle project.



ITS Planning and Implementation

•For the first time, there will be a communication link between traffic signals and the Regional Traffic Management Center.





ITS Planning and Implementation Emerging Areas

- Active monitoring of traffic signals on key corridors
- Expanded use of adaptive traffic signals
- Travel time information on key roadways
- Integrated Corridor Management
- Hard shoulder running
- Connected and autonomous vehicles
- Traffic Incident Management





Congestion Management Process

- •104 active CMP corridors
- •580 total miles
 - •Tier One
 - •Freeways and Expressways
 - •INRIX data with Maryland CATT lab VPP Suite of analytical tools
 - •Tier Two
 - •Key regional arterials
 - •Mini Blue Toad detection devices



Congestion Management Process





CMP:Map-21 Performance Measures

SPC plans to track the following performance measures by USDOT when they go into effect:

- •Percent of the Person-Miles Traveled on the Interstate that are reliable (Interstate System)
- •Percent of the Person-Miles Traveled on the Non-Interstate NHS that are reliable (Non-Interstate NHS)
- •Percent Change in Tailpipe CO₂ Emissions on the NHS Compared to the Calendar Year 2017 Level (NHS)
- •Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index (Interstate System)
- •Annual Hours of Peak Hour Excessive Delay Per Capita (NHS)
- •Percent of Non-SOV Travel (NHS)
- •Total Emissions Reduction (CMAQ eligible areas)



Corridor Planning Studies

- •New type of study which focuses on operations and safety collectively
- Looks at how they impact one another, but also how existing and future land uses may impact both.
- Short term and long term suggestions that can be potentially incorporated into LRP, TIP, and partner maintenance and development activities
- •Completed two to date at request of our county planning partners (SR 68/528 in Butler County, SR 18 in Lawrence County)





Transportation Ops and Safety Programs and Initiatives

Multimodal: SR 51 Transit Signal Priority Study

TSP saves southbound customers an average of 4.5 minutes from their afternoon commute:





for All Travelers



Transit Travel Time (PM Peak)





Regional Traffic Signal Program

Program Functional Background



- SPC is program administrator
- CMAQ funding (80/20)
- Municipalities apply to SPC for specific corridor funding
- SPC and partners selects corridors for program
- Two project types: SINC, SINC-UP





Regional Traffic Signal Program

Program's 1st Cycle completed in 2012 Program's 2nd Cycle completed in 2015

- 45 project locations
- 501 Signals
- 10 Counties
- 58 Municipalities
- \$7M CMAQ and 1.75M local







Regional Traffic Signal Program

Program's 2nd Cycle

OVERALL NEW EQUIPMENT

- 3 construction contracts (\$2.91 million)
- •23 traffic signal controllers
- •30 traffic signal controllers and cabinets
- •244 vehicular signal heads converted to LED
- •272 pedestrian countdown signals
- •140 pedestrian push buttons
- •112 vehicular radar detection units
- •78 GPS time reference units
- •45 Radio Spread Spectrum units
- •37 Software updates
- •16 Emergency Pre-emption units
- •5 battery back-up systems
- •18,805 LF pavement markings
- •Some loop detection and signage
- Retiming/coordination implementation at 250 intersections
 One complete reconstructed intersection (Kittanning)
 Four complete signal removals (New Castle and Homer City)



Countdown ped signals



Controllers, cabinets



Ped push buttons

Overall Program Benefits-2nd Cycle

Retiming Benefits		First Year Operations Benefits	First Year Safety Benefits	Total First Year Benefits
	457,135 vehicles travel these corridors on an average day		ri k	
	3,183,861 reduced vehicle hours of travel		Λ	Total First Year Benefit
	3,595,069 gallons reduced fuel consumption	First Year Operations Benefit \$75.200.526	Benefit The safety benefits to pedestrians included bringing 158 intersections up to current standards by increasing the pedestrian intervals and installing	(Operations & Safety)
50	251,176 kg reduced total CO pollutant emissions	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		\$87,358,793
NO	48,899 kg reduced total NO pollutant emissions		246 countdown pedestrian signals. This can help reduce	
voc	58,202 kg reduced total VOC pollutant emissions	Denefit Cent Detion	crashes by 70% and provide a 99:1 benefit to cost for	Benefit Cost Ratio:
8	\$38,738 LED upgrade savings (energy & maintenance)	46:1	pedestrians. 16 Emergency Vehicle Preemption systems were also installed with	50.1
STOP	140,370,208 reduced number of stops		this program, which helped reduce emergency response times by 14% to 23%.	JU. 1
\$	\$1,618,312 total life cycle project cost			

Before



After





What is Traffic Incident Management (TIM)?

 TIM is a planned and coordinated, multidisciplinary approach to clearing traffic incidents as safely and efficiently as possible to minimize impacts to responders and motorists and restore traffic flow.







Why is TIM important?

Effective TIM

- •Reduces secondary crashes in traffic
- backlogs, detours
- •Makes efficient use of resources among all agencies
- •Promotes responder safety, quick clearance, and prompt and reliable communication among all parties BEFORE the incident, DURING the incident, and AFTER the incident
- •Identifies issues that need to be advanced to the next responsible party, legislative leadership, etc.

Local TIM Teams



Local TIM Meetings

- Round table discussions
- PennDOT Information
- Municipal Information
- Training needs
- After Action Reviews







Traffic Control Training



HAZMAT / Tanker Response Training



Cable Median Barrier Training

Statewide TIM Summit, Aftermath



- Establish a PennTIME Program
- Establish a Statewide TIM Panel
- Establish a Joint Operational Policy
- Enhance and coordinate training
- Improve driver education

Domenic D'Andrea, P.E., PTOE Manager, Operations and Safety Programs ddandrea@spcregion.org

