PennDOT's Transportation Systems Management & Operations (TSMO) Program



TSMO Implementation Guidebook December 6, 2017





- TSMO Implementation Guidebook
- Performance Measurement Framework
- Performance Measurement Tools



Part I. Planning

- Tie-in to the TSMO Strategic Framework and TSMO Program Plan
- Relationship/connection of TSMO to other PennDOT Planning Documents and Guidance
- Guidance for developing Regional Operations Plans (ROPs)
- Description and uses for each of the TSMO toolbox strategies
- Guidance on the use of IQ mapping for identifying congestion issues
- Incorporation of TSMO performance metrics, including MAP 21

Part II. Design Part III. Deployment Part IV. Maintenance Part V. Operations





TSMO Implementation Guidebook: Part I – Planning

- 1. Introduction
- 2. TSMO Business Area Plans
- 3. Regional Operations Plans
- 4. Identifying Congestion
- 5. Classifying Congestion
- 6. Mitigating Congestion
- 7. Federal System Performance Measurement

Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSMO) Implementation Guidebook PART I: PLANNING





ROPs: Four Regions



Lead: SPC Lead: Central RTMC

Lead: TBD

Lead: DVRPC



ROPS: Preparation

• Timeline

- Ideal: ROP planning horizon and update cycle same as LRTP

- 20-30 year horizon
- 4-5 year update cycle
- Reality: Not all ROP regions geographically aligned with MPO/RPO boundaries, so achieve to maximum extent possible



• **GOST** structure

- Goals, which are broad outcomes and answer "what" is trying to be accomplished
- **Objectives** are measurable, specific, and are a subset of goals
- **Strategies** answer "how" the defined objectives will be achieved
- Tactics are actions that are used to achieve the defined objectives and associated strategies

	Not Objective-Driven and Performance Based	Objective-Driven and Performance Based
Transportation System Need	Deployment of additional CCTV cameras	Incident Management (could include CCTV coverage) along a corridor based on the number or crashes/incidents on non-recurring congestion
Performance Measure	Number of CCTV cameras deployed	Reduction in the number of crashes and/or delays due to incidents along the corridor







ROP Structure

- Executive Summary (optional)
- Chapter 1: Overview of the Region
- Chapter 2: Existing Regional Demographics and Transportation Elements
- Chapter 3: Existing and Future Operations
- Chapter 4: Transportation Needs and Operational Issues
- Chapter 5: Strategies and Projects
- Chapter 6: ROP Coordination and Maintenance
- Appendix





Roadway Tiering System

Road Type	Tier	Criteria
Lineite el	1A	AADT > 75,000
Access (NHS)	1B	AADT between 50,000 and 75,000
(1113)	1C	AADT < 50,000
	2A	AADT > 25,000
Access (NHS)	2B	AADT between 10,000 and 25,000
(1113)	2C	AADT < 10,000
	3A	AADT > 10,000
Non-NHS	3B	AADT between 2,000 and 10,000
	3C	AADT < 2,000



TSMO Performance Metrics Framework



Guidebook Chapter 4: Identifying Congestion

- Baseline measures for comparing changes in congestion as various TSMO strategies are applied to reduce congestion.
- When is congestion occurring?
- Where is congestion happening?
- How **intense** is congestion?
- How **reliable** is travel time?



	Questions Answered							
Measure	How Intense is Congestion?	How Reliable is Travel Time?	When is Congestion Occurring?	Where is Congestion Occurring?				
Time Delay	Х		Х	Х				
Time Delay per VMT	Х		Х	Х				
Delay Cost	Х		Х	Х				
Delay Cost per VMT	Х		Х	Х				
Travel Time Index (TTI)	Х		Х	Х				
Planning Time Index (PTI)		Х	Х	Х				
Buffer Time Index (BTI)		Х	Х	Х				
Bottleneck Identification and Ranking	Х		Х	Х				



Congestion Identification



• Tools

- RITIS: Probe Data Analytics Suite (formerly Vehicle Probe Project)
- PennDOT purchased probe speed data available through PDA
- TSMO IQ Mapping

• Methods

- Congestion has both spatial and time dimensions
- Need to hold one dimension constant to visualize variation in the other dimensions
- Time constant → Map visual of where congestion is at that time
- Location constant → Timeline of when congestion occurs at that location



Identify Congestion: Where is Congestion Occurring?

 Pick a snapshot in time and visualize congestion locations on a map



Source: PDA Suite, Trend Map



50 mph

Identify Congestion: When is Congestion Happening?

• Pick a road or region, see timeline of when it's congested



Source: PDA Suite, Bottleneck Ranking Tool

Each bar represents one day of February 2017

Guidebook Chapter 5: Classify Congestion

 Classify

- Something causes each instance of congestion
- Attempt to classify every congestion event
 - Recurring Congestion
 - Bottlenecks
 - Poor Signal Timing
 - Non-Recurring Congestion
 - Traffic Incidents
 - Work Zones
 - Inclement Weather
 - Special Events



Classify Congestion

Congestion Event: I-76 WB Schuylkill Expressway between US-1 and I-476 Sun 7/30/17 @ 5:00 p.m.



- Process of elimination
- Is there recurring congestion at this location at this time of day/day of week?
 - If yes, bottleneck is a factor
 - If arterial, could be poor signal timing
- Inclement weather?
 - Any precipitation? (Radar)
 - Any fog detected? (Visibility)
- Was there an incident?
 - Crash reports
 - TMC logs



Guidebook Chapter 6: Mitigate Congestion



- DOTs and other agencies use various strategies to address different causes of congestion
- Evaluate how well each strategy works
- Compare congestion
 - With/without strategy
 - Before/after strategy
 - Identify congestion, compare metrics



• Probe Data Analytics Suite

- Historic data analysis within RITIS (Regional Integrated Transportation Information System)
- Operated by University of Maryland CATT Lab
- Contains several apps to evaluate system performance
- Formerly known as the Vehicle Probe Project (VPP)

Gaining Access

- Use RITIS account
- Accounts can be requested for PennDOT employees, Planning Partners, and consultants doing work on behalf of PennDOT
- Agencies must sign data use agreement





Metrics Tools: PDA Suite



REGION EXPLORER

Explore the relationships between bottlenecks and traffic events in real-time and in the past.

Tutorial Help



MASSIVE DATA DOWNLOADER

Download raw probe data from our archive for offline analysis.

Tutorial Help History

What's New 11/9/17



CONGESTION SCAN

Analyze the rise and fall of congested conditions on a stretch of road.

Tutorial Help History



TREND MAP Create animated maps of roadway conditions.

Tutorial Help History



PERFORMANCE CHARTS

Chart performance metrics over time.

Tutorial Help History

_		
	-	_
E	-	_
E	-	
E		
-	-	_

PERFORMANCE SUMMARIES

Report on Buffer Time Index, Planning Time Index, and other performance metrics.

Tutorial Help History



BOTTLENECK RANKING

Rank bottlenecks and discover which ones have the greatest impact.

Tutorial Help History



USER DELAY COST ANALYSIS

Put a dollar amount on how much a road's performance impacts its users.

Tutorial Help History



DASHBOARD

Create your own personal dashboards to monitor corridor performance in regions of interest.

Tutorial Help



TUTORIALS

Learn how to use each of the tools in the suite.

PDA Suite: PennDOT-Sponsored Enhancements

- Additional graphics options for chart exports (fonts, colors, labels, sizes, etc.)
- Additional metrics
 - Bottleneck attributes
 - Travel Time Index, Buffer Time Index, Planning Time Index
 - Delay Costs
- Application Programming Interface (API)
 - Anything that can be produced in PDA Suite can be automated and run on a recurring basis through API



PDA Suite: Trend Map

- Allows the following metrics to be shown visually on a map by time of day
 - Speed
 - Travel Time Index
 - Buffer Time Index
 - Planning Time Index
- Time of day grouped in buckets from 1 minute to 1 hour
- Multiple days can be selected to compare results



Trend Map: Speed

5:00 PM - December 01, 2017 (Friday) Lafayette Hill 63 (73) Rockledge (73) 611 230 Gladwyne Riverside 213 213 Bryn Mawr £133 213 13 Wynnewood Palmyra (90) Moorestow <u>a</u> 3 213 3 2303 (41) 1303 Lansdowne 38 (38) (611) Springfield Cherry Hill Aldan 2303 (70) \edla Slow speeds on many Sharon Hill Holmes (291) interstates at 5:00 PM 833 on Friday 12/1/17 chaven 76 Parkside 2305 National Park Speed (mph) 10 20 30 40 |50 10

Trend Map: Travel Time Index

5:00 PM - December 01, 2017 (Friday) Lafayette Hill (73) Rockledge (73 611 Gladwyne Riverside 213 213 Bryn Mawr £133 217 13 Wynnewood Palmyra (90) Moorestow **δ**13 21 3 £30} (41) 1303 Lansdowne 31 (38) (611) Springfield Cherry Hill Aldan Travel times exceed 230 \edla 2.5x free flow on Sharon Hill Holmes 291 many interstate segments 2133 chaven 76 Parkside 2305 National Park Travel time index 1.1 1.3 1.6 2.5 12

Trend Map: Planning Time Index

5:00 PM - December 01, 2017 (Friday) Lafayette Hill (73) Rockledge (73 Riverside Gladwyne 213 213 Bryn Mawr £133 ۶î 13 Wynnewood Palmyra (90) Moorestow δ**1**3 81 £30} 41 230 Lansdowne Plan for >3x free flow (611) Springfield Aldan travel time to arrive 230 \edla Sharon Hill on time 95% of the Holmes time on dark red 2133 segments chaven 76 Parkside 130 National Park Planning time index 2.5 13 1.3 1.6 12

Trend Map: Buffer Time Index

5:00 PM - December 01, 2017 (Friday) Lafayette Hill 63 (73) Rockledge (73) 611 2303 Gladwyne Riverside 213 213 Bryn Mawr £133 213 13 Wynnewood Palmyra (90) Moorestow 813 3 213 3 2303 (41) 1303 Lansdowne 38 (38) (611) Springfield Cherry Hill Aldan 2303 (70) \edla Fairly normal Friday Sharon Hill Holmes (291) afternoon on most 833 segments. chaven 76 Parkside 21305 National Park Buffer index 0.3 0.6 12 3.5 11 0

• Export options

– Excel file (XML document: Open With \rightarrow Excel) \bigstar

• Will export whatever metric is current selected with results for every segment shown on the map, with color-coding of cells

E	日 5 🗸 🕐 🎼 🛱 😈 📡 📮 🥰 🗒 = Interstates in Pennsylvania (2427 TMCs) Trend Map Speed for December 01, 2017 (Friday).xml - Excel Gault, St														
Fi	le Home	Insert Page Layout Formu	as Data	n Review	View	Develop	er Add	-ins AC	ROBAT	Power Pivot	t Team	© Tell	me what yo	u want to do	
Norr	Normal Page Break Page Custom Image: Custom Preview Layout Views Image: Custom Preview Layout Views														
04			0004005	DI	DL4	DNI	10	80	10	DD.	DC.	DT	DU	D) /	D14/
1	A Coood for Into	B states in Dependencia (2427 TMC	c) using IN	BL	BIVI	BIN	вО	БР	вQ	BK	80	ы	вО	BV	BVV
2	December 01	2017 (Eriday)	s) using in												
3	TMC CODE	NAME	MILES	3:00 PM	3:15 PM	3:30 PM	3:45 PM	4:00 PM	4:15 PM	4:30 PM	4:45 PM	5:00 PM	5:15 PM	5:30 PM	5:45 PM
53	104N04527	HAZLETT ST/EXIT 9	0.466656	63.67476	61.97332	63.49839	63.46302	59.72841	64.37352	60.6022	65.22776	58.91624	57.65119	56.45245	60.12036
54	104-04526	EAST ST/EXIT 8	0.719964	62.00599	61.96547	61.62493	59.80599	57.63399	61.65597	59.78505	61.76806	61.04397	59.79686	59.29254	58.84406
55	104N04526	EAST ST/EXIT 8	0.222836	59.8135	68.85246	57.71052	52.72702	54.23362	62.68988	56.98545	52.30552	58.27042	60.63207	54.59086	56.57452
56	104-04525	I-579/EXIT 8	0.350857	56.87592	60.31844	59	61.00804	55.51107	57.30204	58.07612	59.46249	58.04073	56.52893	54.22785	58.85343
57	104-04524	PA-28/7TH ST/EXIT 7	0.300712	52.49963	49.57031	47.51719	55.95254	51.35849	50.43587	53.32155	50	52.03779	53.37209	51	55.68966
58	104N04524	PA-28/7TH ST/EXIT 7	0.081139	53.47948	44.50525	45.85389	46.33893	47.45291	47.8333	48.22365	43.44033	46.34959	44.2623	46.56033	47.02364
59	104-04523	REEDSDALE ST/7TH STREET BRIDG	0.269414	56.32941	45.09421	45.47632	43.41393	47.66691	45.52503	48.52252	23.86167	26.43557	39.45577	30.1435	38.88768
60	104N04523	REEDSDALE ST/7TH STREET BRIDG	0.11711	51.5766	26.48404	27.1179	35.49296	40.49682	34.11371	39.3244	31.18812	23.70266	22.71102	15.80955	23.18777
61	104-04522	PA-65/EXIT 7	0.010302	51	13.58569	21.5333	29.37694	41.32248	22.14132	29.59874	16.06491	11.81987	13.62028	11.4795	16.05178
62	104N04522	PA-65/EXIT 7	0.23302	31.31054	6.772373	18.70705	19.96839	27.18247	11.9976	21.00735	22.8169	18.95139	8.536235	11.58181	11.21815
63	104-04521	FORT DUQUESNE BRIDGE	0.00978	11.52782	7.117182	16.74465	10.92066	11.34921	8.390169	10.1557	15.99424	15.17905	7.886202	9.04936	8.559783
64	104N04521	FORT DUQUESNE BRIDGE	0.61005	7.753846	7.898936	16.00265	8.786054	11.00448	8.618152	8.041931	7.071429	9.509012	7.21349	7.817994	7.659574
65	104-04520	FORT DUQUESNE BRIDGE/6TH STF	0.005599	6.32107	7.965517	11.07668	7.95759	10.04227	8.377491	6.334842	6.714628	9.991349	7.532609	6.494845	7.186312
66	104N04520	FORT DUQUESNE BRIDGE/6TH STF	0.20724	6.284289	7.585792	6.954922	7.270629	7.824025	7.813146	5.308989	2.903226	4.518828	6.428571	6.774194	7.54491
67	104-04519	I-279/US-22/US-30/FORT PITT BLV	0.006481	6.702128	7.419038	7.129385	6.673729	9.437988	7.440945	8.289474	8.181818	8.852459	7.098592	7.297297	7.761807
68	104N04519	I-279/US-22/US-30/FORT PITT BLV	0.195024	6.810811	7.065421	7.360595	6.5762	9.716997	7.523885	9.393638	8.206039	9.061785	7.714286	8.076923	8.025478
69	104-04636	CEMETERY LN/EXIT 12	0.411459	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	56	56	56	56

- Screenshot image of map
- Video (animated GIF or MP4)



PDA Suite: Performance Charts

- Performance charts can be generated for:
 - Speed
 - Travel Time Index
 - Buffer Time Index
 - Planning Time Index
- Can choose to aggregate certain days of the week and hours of the day, for example just look at weekday peak periods







Averaged per fifteen minutes for December 01, 2017

Northbound

Planning time index 1.5 1.6 0.5 0.5 1.2:00 AM 2:00 AM 2:00 AM 2:00 PM 2:00 PM 2:00 PM 2:00 PM 6:00 PM 8:00 PM 10:00 PM

December 01, 2017 - INRIX December 01, 2017 25th and 75th percentile - INRIX December 01, 2017 5th and 95th percentile - INRIX



Plan an extra

20% average

statewide for

interstate travel

during Friday

Performance Charts: Export Options

- Can use large pixel size so it will print well
- Can adjust colors & font sizes
- Can choose chart titles
- Recommend using transparent background

Customize image export Customize axis Image size Image background Axis color Width: 2000 🔶 Height: 2000 🚔 Axis width - + Transparent background Background color Image Title Planning time index for Interstate Font Size 12px Bold e contra ll'anne mila le Securio (1.5 and the second second Description Averaged per fifteen minutes for Font Size 12px Regular Graph Titles . Northbound . Southbound . Eastbound Ţ Westbound Font Size 12px Bold • Axis Labels Font Size 12px Regular ✓ Legend Font Size 12px Regular Include definition of data type Save export settings as default Save image

 (\mathbf{X})

Buffer index for Interstates in Pennsylvania (2427 TMCs)

Averaged per fifteen minutes for December 01, 2017

Northbound





Planning time index for Interstates in Pennsylvania (2427 TMCs)

Averaged per day of week for January 02, 2017 through December 01, 2017 (Every weekday)

January 02, 2017 through December 01, 2017 (Every weekday) 4 PM - 6 PM





Buffer index for Interstates in Pennsylvania (2427 TMCs)

Averaged per day of week for January 02, 2017 through December 01, 2017 (Every weekday)

January 02, 2017 through December 01, 2017 (Every weekday) 4 PM - 6 PM





- Bottlenecks exist whenever speeds drop below 60% of free-flow speed
- Bottlenecks have a head from which extend upstream
- Additional metrics added through PennDOT Project:
 - Base impact weighted by:
 - Speed differential
 - Congestion
 - Total Delay
 - Recommend changing ranking to **TOTAL DELAY** instead of base impact (click on column heading twice to rank with highest total delay first)
 - Total delay considers volume, magnitude of speed drop, and length of queue (not an exact delay measure due to computational complexity to allow results to be calculated in a timely manner)



Bottleneck Ranking

	Bottleneck Ranking Table for Interstates in Pennsylvania (2427 TMCs) between November 1, 2017 and November 30, 2017 (1124 total) Dis									Display Options	
Bottleneck Profile Influences Influences Base impact weighted by											
Rank	Map	Head Location (approximate)	Average max length 🕕	Average daily duration	Total duration 🕕	All Events/Incidents	Base Impact 🌒	Speed differential	Congestion ()	TOTAL DELAY	External Tool Links
1		I-95 S @ GIRARD AVE/EXIT 23	3.72	5 h 28 n	6 d 20 h 25 m	0	37,845.65	1,414,213.86	71,912.26	109,843,404,918.04	
2		I-76 E @ BELMONT AVE/EXIT 338	3.94	4 h 37 n	5 d 18 h 54 m	1	43,772.93	1,517,512.32	76,140.80	76,849,858,661.14	
3		I-476 S @ BALTIMORE PIKE/EXIT 3	4.27	3 h 26 n	4 d 07 h 14 m	0	26,374.41	912,152.99	40,168.62	46,968,581,531.79	
4		I-76 W @ HOLLOW RD/EXIT 337	3.20	3 h 15 n	4 d 02 h 04 m	1	18,445.03	629,990.41	35,124.88	45,298,200,331.81	
5		I-76 E @ SOUTH ST/EXIT 346	2.10	3 h 14 n	4 d 01 h 24 m	0	17,696.40	583,106.14	34,041.60	43,614,589,922.91	
6		1-95 S @ PA-320/E 6TH ST/EXIT 6	1.13	6 h 14 n	7 d 19 h 30 m	2	16,242.07	593,885.00	29,154.33	40,185,822,342.67	
7		I-76 W @ BELMONT AVE/EXIT 338	2.20	3 h 45 n	4 d 16 h 54 m	1	14,815.16	501,556.31	27,719.24	36,203,839,248.02	
8		I-76 W @ MATSONFORD RD/EXIT 332	5.22	1 h 30 n	1 d 21 h 31 m	1	14,218.53	463,609.75	22,072.28	33,044,712,371.07	N 100 - 100
9		I-76 E @ SPRING GARDEN ST/EXIT 343	2.61	2 h 24 n	3 d 00 h 29 m	0	12,402.74	387,423.44	20,689.59	29,037,774,527.56	
10		I-76 E @ US-30/US-13/GIRARD AVE/EXIT 342	1.91	3 h 29 n	4 d 09 h 03 m	0	12,282.67	388,039.31	19,663.64	28,664,075,616.21	

• Top 10 Interstate Bottlenecks in Pennsylvania (Nov. 2017)

Rank	Мар	Head Location (approximate)
1		I-95 S @ GIRARD AVE/EXIT 23
2		I-76 E @ BELMONT AVE/EXIT 338
3		I-476 S @ BALTIMORE PIKE/EXIT 3
4		I-76 W @ HOLLOW RD/EXIT 337
5		I-76 E @ SOUTH ST/EXIT 346
6		I-95 S @ PA-320/E 6TH ST/EXIT 6
7		I-76 W @ BELMONT AVE/EXIT 338
8		I-76 W @ MATSONFORD RD/EXIT 332
9		I-76 E @ SPRING GARDEN ST/EXIT 343
10		I-76 E @ US-30/US-13/GIRARD AVE/EXIT 342

- Top Non-Philadelphia Area Interstate Bottlenecks (Nov. 2017)
 - #12: I-376 N @ Fort Pitt Tunnel
 - #19: I-376 W @ Squirrel Hill Tunnel
 - #31: I-83 S @ 2nd St/Exit 43
 - #32: I-376 E @ Squirrel Hill Tunnel
 - #33: I-83 N @ Union Deposit
 Road/Exit 48 pennsylvania

Bottleneck Ranking: Timeline







Bottleneck Ranking: Elements Table

• This can also be exported to Excel

I-95 S @ Girard Avenue/Exit 23

				-	
Start time	End time	Duration	Max length (miles)	Impact 🕕	All events/incidents
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 6:21 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 7:32 AM	1 h 11 m	6.55	421.10	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 7:32 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 7:33 AM	1 m	6.39	6.39	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 7:33 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 7:50 AM	17 m	6.55	111.34	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 7:50 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 7:54 AM	4 m	6.39	25.56	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 7:54 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 8:00 AM	6 m	6.55	39.30	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 8:00 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 8:01 AM	1 m	6.39	6.39	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 8:01 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 8:40 AM	39 m	6.55	245.09	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 8:40 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 8:41 AM	1 m	5.60	5.60	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 8:41 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 9:16 AM	35 m	5.75	181.87	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 9:16 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 9:17 AM	1 m	3.18	3.18	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 9:17 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 9:34 AM	17 m	3.34	45.41	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 9:34 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 9:37 AM	3 m	1.99	5.98	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 9:37 AM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 10:22 AM	45 m	1.81	47.78	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 2:38 PM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 5:18 PM	2 h 40 m	6.55	642.15	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 5:18 PM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 5:20 PM	2 m	6.39	12.78	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 5:20 PM	Fri, Dec 1, 2017 5:22 PM	2 m	6.55	13.10	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 5:22 PM	Each line represer	nts a time whe	6.39	6.39	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 5:23 PM	speed dropped be	low 60% of fr	6.55	19.65	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 5:26 PM	flow or queue le	nath changes	6.39	83.08	0
Fri, Dec 1, 2017 5:39 PM	now of queue le	ngth changed	6.55	91.69	0

Bottleneck Ranking: Elements Graph



Road selection

- Road allows a road to be selected by name or number, including the entire length within a state or a partial segment with userdefined beginning and ending points
 - I-81 Entire length (from PA/MD border to PA/NY border)
 - I-81 from Exit 52/US-11/I-76 to Exit 89/I-78
- Region allows all roads meeting certain geographic criteria to be selected, such as county or road classification. Examples:
 - All interstates in Pennsylvania
 - All roads in Centre County, Pennsylvania
- Take advantage of the export options for additional analysis
- For Pennsylvania analysis, INRIX data is recommended
- Compare to historic average speed to differentiate recurring and non-recurring congestion

- Anything which can be generated by the PDA Suite User Interface can be automated through API
- Requests & responses use JSON format with an API Key assigned by UMD that is tied to a RITIS login

```
"id": "G 2159512",
"impact": 390221.73192599975,
"impactPercent": 2.0538367764944407E8,
"impactSpeedDiff": 1.2839190076595997E7,
"totalDuration": 46058.0,
"averageDuration": 225.77450980392157,
"averageDurationPerDay": 125.49863760217984,
"averageMaxLength": 6.0007840294117605,
"headLocation": "PA-477 N @ US-220",
"geometry": "-77.47788 41.08691,-77.477 41.08
41.08683,-77.47395 41.08667,-77.47368 41.0866
41.08602, -77.47187 41.08598, -77.47174 41.0859
41.08543,-77.46971 41.08518,-77.46945 41.0850
41.08477, -77.46822 41.08481, -77.46813 41.0848
41.08576, -77.46639 41.08587, -77.46631 41.0859
41.08582,-77.46565 41.08573,-77.46551 41.0856
"headGeometry": "-77.47788 41.08691",
"state": null,
"tmcs": ["103P17360", "103+17360", "103P17359"],
"volumeEstimate": 0,
"delaySurrogate": 0.0
```



- Anything which can be generated in PDA Suite User Interface can be automated with API
- Each API has a request and response JSON message
- Some APIs require checking status repeatedly due to the time required to run the analysis
- APIs available:
 - Segment Search
 - Bottleneck Search
 - Returns Bottlenecks
 - Can also request the elements associated with each bottleneck
 - Jobs (submit request, check status, then request results)
 - Export (massive data downloader)
 - Performance Metrics
 - User Delay Cost



Example Bottleneck Data

```
"id": "G 2159927",
"impact": 14673.715390999972,
"impactPercent": 19322.120737999998,
"impactSpeedDiff": 457396.20020300016,
"totalDuration": 2653.95,
"averageDuration": 7.4549157303370785,
"averageDurationPerDay": 7.231471389645776,
"averageMaxLength": 5.567096648876389,
"headLocation": "I-99 N @ ATHERTON ST/GRAYS WOODS
BLVD/28TH DIVISION HWY",
"geometry": "-77.93855 40.81776,-77.93938
40.81799, -77.93988 40.81812, -77.94026 40.81823, ...
"headGeometry": "-77.93855 40.81776",
"state": null,
"tmcs": ["103P14825", "103+14825", "103P15511",
"103+15511", "103P14824", "103+14824", "104+11701",
"104P04897", "104+04897", "104P04896", "104+04896",
"104P04895", "104+04895"],
"volumeEstimate": 9070,
"delaySurrogate": 4.1485835358412113E9
```

Example Bottleneck Element

"startTime": 1452639644, "endTime": 1452640221, "impact": 79.395101, "impactPercent": 103.819355, "impactSpeedDiff": 2012.071281, "maxQueueLength": 8.958403, "tmcs": ["103+06809", "103P07209"]



PDA Suite API: Performance Measures

```
"tmcGroupIndex": 165,
"periodId": 0,
"timeRangeIndex": 0,
"interval": 0,
"intervalString": null,
"requestIntervalIndex": 0,
"period": "January 01, 2016 through January 01,
2017",
"timeRange": "0-1440",
"tmcGroupAlias": "104P04850",
"speed": 67.27223156909943,
"averageSpeed": 66.21899337851922,
"referenceSpeed": 67.209296834809,
"length": 0.700397,
"bufferIndex": 0.034671771539362635,
"bufferTime": 0.02200335903511254,
"planningTimeIndex": 1.0501452630438903,
"planningTime": 0.6566221875,
"travelTimeIndex": 0.9990644767860006,
"travelTime": 0.6246830084242823,
"congestion": 100.0,
"averageCongestion": 98.52653798964181,
"compSpeed": 100.0,
"percentile95": 64.0,
```

"travelTimePercentiles": { "85": 0.6367245454545455, "95": 0.6566221875 }, "speedPercentiles": { "85": 71.0, "95": 73.0 }, "compSpeedPercentiles": { "85": 100.0, "95": 100.0 }, "bufferIndexPercentiles": { "85": 0.003318081492715282, "95": 0.034671771539362635 }, "bufferTimePercentiles": { "85": 0.002105716989657997, "95": 0.02200335903511254 }, "planningTimeIndexPercentiles": { "85": 1.0183226793152877, "95": 1.0501452630438903 }, "planningTimePercentiles": { "85": 0.6367245454545455, "95": 0.6566221875 }, "travelTimeIndexPercentiles": { "85": 1.0183226793152877, "95": 1.0501452630438903 }, "congestionPercentiles": { "85": 100.0, "95": 100.0 },



PDA Suite API: User Delay Cost

Daily

Hourly

```
"daily totals": {
                                                  "commercial": {
"commercial": {
                                                            "volume": 21794.00000,
         "volume": 523056.025360,
                                                            "delay cost per vmt": 0.026065,
                                                            "delay_person_hours": 9.261554,
         "delay cost per vmt": 0.020683,
         "delay person hours": 176.379462,
                                                            "delay cost": 930.693585,
         "delay cost": 17724.372179,
                                                            "delay vehicle hours": 9.261554,
         "delay vehicle_hours": 176.379462,
                                                            "cdi": 0.01556257,
         "cdi": 0.01234907,
                                                            "vmt": 35707.029619 },
                                                  "passenger": {
         "vmt": 856968.865854
                                                            [same data format] },
},
"passenger": {
                                                  "combined": {
         [same data format]
                                                            "volume": 217940.000000,
                                                            "coverage": 100.00,
},
"combined": {
                                                            "expected weight": 65038.612020,
                                                            "delay cost_per_vmt": 0.006787,
         "volume": 5230560.253603,
                                                            "delay_person_hours": 113.454039,
         "coverage": 100.00,
         "expected weight": 1560926.688480,
                                                            "delay cost": 2796.780995,
         "delay cost per vmt": 0.005386,
                                                            "delay vehicle hours": 92.615542,
         "delay_person_hours": 2160.648415,
                                                            "cdi": 0.01556257,
         "delay cost": 53262.629114,
                                                            "vmt": 357070.296188,
         "delay_vehicle_hours": 1763.794624,
                                                            "expected received weight":
         "cdi": 0.01234907,
                                                  0.000000
         "vmt": 8569688.658536,
                                                  },
         "expected received weight": 0.000000
                                                  "date": "January 01, 2016 00:00:00",
                                                  "hour": 0
},
                                                                             pennsylvania
"date": "January 01, 2016"
```

TSMO Layers

- Top Bottlenecks
- Traffic Signals
- V/C Ratio
- Shoulder Width
- AADT
- Crash Clusters
- Rear-End Crash Clusters
- Intersection Crash Clusters
- Curved Road Crash Clusters
- TIP
- ITS Devices
- RCRS Road Closures*
- Crash Rates
- Incident Factor*

- Truck Percentage
- Detour Routes*
- Police Departments*
- RTMC Boundaries
- Rumble Strips
- Cable Median Barriers
- Guiderails
- Bridge Locations
- CM Rating*
- High Volume HOPs
- RCRS Road Conditions*
- Special Events*



Mapping: Vision

- Base Maps
 - Recurring Congestion
 - Non-Recurring Congestion (Planned Events)
 - Work Zones
 - Special Events
 - Non-Recurring Congestion (Unplanned Events)
 - Traffic Incidents
 - Weather
- Base Maps have predefined layers
- Can add layers and turn on/off layers as desired
- Filters allow showing only locations with a certain criteria (example: Planning Time Index > 2.0)
- Operations Metrics for previous 12-month period



• Bottlenecks

- Previous 12 months of data, mapped to the TMC segment at the head of the bottleneck
- All attributes from PDA Suite API
- Also include ranking by delay surrogate
 - Rank within RTMC region
 - Rank within District
 - Rank within Planning Partner region
- Performance Measures
 - Previous 12 months of data calculated for each hour of the day for each TMC
 - Attributes for worst hour of day, AM & PM
 - Buffer Time Index
 - Planning Time Index
 - Travel Time Index



Mapping: Statewide Bottlenecks



Mapping: Central Region Top-Ranked Bottlenecks







Mapping: Local View of Top Ranked Bottlenecks



Mapping: Bottlenecks with Signals & Rear-End Crashes 53



TSMO Solution Matrix

	Causes of Congestion					
TSMO Solutions	Bottlenecks	Traffic Incidents	Inclement Weather	Work Zones	Poor Signal Timing	Special Events
Integrated Corridor Management	х	х	х	х	х	х
Hard Shoulder Running	x	х				х
Managed Lanes	x	x	x			х
TIM Teams		x				
Freeway Service Patrols		x		x		x
Smart Work Zones				x		
Traffic Signal Enhancements					x	
Transit Signal Priority					x	
Traveler Information		x	x	x		x
Ramp Metering	x	x				x
Bridge De-icing			x			
Commercial Vehicle Operations						
Dynamic Lane Assignment	x	x		x		x
Junction Control	x	x		x		x
Queue Warning	x	x		x		x
Variable Speed Displays		x	x	x		
Dynamic Rerouting		x		x		x
RWIS			x			
Dynamic Curve Warning						
Traffic Management Center Operations	х	x	х	x	х	х
Traffic Incident Detection		x				
DMS		x		x		x
ССТV	x	x	x	x		x



Guidebook Chapter 7: Federal Performance Measurement

- Traffic operations/congestion related measures
 - Interstate Travel Time Reliability
 - Non-Interstate Travel Time Reliability
 - Freight Reliability
 - Peak Hour Excessive Delay
 - Percent of Non-SOV Travel
- Calculated using NPMRDS data set





×	X	R
ХŢ	0	X
		0
		0

TSMO Purpose

A way to address reliability, mobility, and congestion by using various strategies rather than just trying to build our way out.



TSMO Mission

Move people and goods, from Point A to Point B, as efficiently, safely, and reliably as possible.

Steve Gault, P.E., PTOE

Michael Baker International 717.221.2069 steve.gault@mbakerintl.com

